## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

<u>Marie Miller et al.</u>

v.

Civil No. 08-cv-293-JL

Scott Roberge

## ORDER

The emergency motion (doc. #4 - treated by the court as a motion for a temporary injunctive relief) filed by plaintiffs

Marie Miller and Dorothy Lafortune is DENIED.

Assuming (without deciding) that the plaintiffs have standing to file the motion on behalf of William Miller and John Miller, they have not made the required four-part showing necessary to obtain preliminary injunctive relief, as they have demonstrated neither a likelihood of success on the merits nor irreparable harm. See Naser Jewelers, Inc. v. City of Concord, 513 F.3d 27, 32 (1st Cir. 2008).

Further, the injunctive relief requested by the plaintiffs -an order that John and William Miller be placed in the "witness
protection program" administered by the United States Marshal's
Service, or a modification in William Miller's state court bail
conditions allowing him to reside at a Veterans Administration
Hospital -- are not within the authority of the court. This would

be true even if the plaintiffs had established entitlement to preliminary injunctive relief.

SO ORDERED.

Joseph N. Laplante

United States District Judge

Dated: August 5, 2008

cc: Marie Miller, pro se

Dorothy Lafortune, pro se Barbara Batson, pro se Carl Weston, pro se John A. Curran, Esq.